UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,239	03/25/2004	Adrian Eich	34123/US	6686	
David E. Bruhn, Esq. DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			EXAMINER		
			WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, M	Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/809,239	EICH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CATHERINE N. WITCZAK	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>J.</b> nely filed  the mailing date of this co  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2008						
•	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13 and 32-35</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11, 13 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do G.C.G. § 110(a)	(a) or (i).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
		<u></u>	Stane				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	·						
Attachmont/s)							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 3-11, 13, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (US 6,019,745) as modified by Kohno et al (US 5,359,379).

Gray discloses in Figures 1 and 3 an ampoule (1) comprising a dispensing end (5) and a distal end (2) comprising a surface perpendicular to an axis along dispensing end, the surface comprising two reference recognition elements (16 and 17) written on predetermined concentric positions on the surface of the ampoule. Furthermore, Gray discloses in columns 6 and 7 the recognitions elements generate one of electrical and magnetic fields of different strengths.

Gray discloses the claimed invention except for the recognition elements being arranged asymmetrically, and at least one reference recognition element situated on different sized concentric circles, with the angular distance between any two positions being different that the angular distance between any other two positions. Kohno et al disclose in Figure 3 that it is known to arrange recognition elements asymmetrically in more than one possible predetermined position on different sized concentric circles (14 and 15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Gray by arranging recognition elements asymmetrically on different sized concentric circles as taught by Kohno to provide a recognition system for reading and decoding a code on an article.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/22/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Gray does not teach an ampoule comprising a surface perpendicular to a central axis comprising a set al recognition element. Examiner disagrees. Even though Gray refers to projections 2b as finger grips, they are an integral portion of the cylindrical glass barrel, and thus it can be considered that the data carrier devices 2c are mounted on the ampoule of Gray. As to the Kohno reference, Kohno teaches that it is known to use several data recognition elements, at least six, which are arranged asymmetrically relative to ampoule and at different angular distances. Thus, Kohno teaches at least two predetermined configurations which are different from each other from which a user could select from.

## Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine N Witczak/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Kevin C. Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767